

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed August 16, 2007 has been carefully considered together with each of the references cited therein. The amendments and remarks presented herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action. Accordingly, reconsideration of the present Application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Applicant has amended the claims to attend to housekeeping matters and to more clearly describe the invention. Claim 1 was amended to recite that the pigment composition comprises more than 15% by weight, based on the overall weight of the pigment composition, of mixed crystals of C.I. Pigment Yellow 74 and the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1) and wherein the mixed crystals contain between 70 and 99.9 mol% of Pigment Yellow 74 and between 30 and 0.1 mol% of the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1). Support for the amendment to claim 1 may be found in originally filed claims 5 and 9. Claims 5 and 9 were canceled, it is believed that no new matter has been introduced by this amendment.

Claims 1 - 8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al. US Pat. No. 4,457,783 (herein after referred to as "the 783 Patsart"). The rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al. US Pat. No. 4,457,783 should be withdrawn for the reason that the "783 Patent does not disclose all of the elements of Applicant's invention. As amended, claim 1 included the elements of claims 5 and 9 wherein the pigment composition comprises more than 15% by weight, based on the overall weight of the pigment composition, of mixed crystals of C.I. Pigment Yellow 74 and the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1) and wherein the mixed crystals contain between 70 and 99.9 mol% of Pigment Yellow 74 and between 30 and 0.1 mol% of the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1), and thus can not be

PAGE 8/13 * RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-36

Attorney's Docket: __2024DE105 Serial Not: __105590.823 Art Unit __1725 ones to Office Action Walled 08/18/2007. said to be anticipated by the 783 Patent. It is fundamental that all elements of a claim must be found united in the same way to perform the identical function for a reference to establish articipation. Anticipation is a technical defense which must meet standards: Unless all of the same elements are found in exactly the same situation and united in the same way to perform the identical function in a single prior art reference, there is no anticipation. Unless all of the elements of a claimed invention can be found in a single reference, it cannot be said that such a claim is anticipated by that reference. Therefore, the rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the '783 Patent should be withdrawn for the reason that the 783 Patent does not disclose all of the elements of Applicant's invention. The rejection of claims 2-8, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al. (US Patent No. 4,457,783) should be withdrawn for the reasons given in support of claim 1 from which they depend.

not be said to be anticipated by the '354 Patent. It is fundamental that all elements of struation and united in the same way to perform the identical function in a single prior being anticipated by Vermoortele et al. (US Pat. No. 6,261,354) should be withdrawn wherein the pigment composition comprises more than 15% by weight, based on the anticipated by Vermoontele et al. (US Pat. No. 6,281,354) (herein after referred to as Applicant's invention. As amended, claim 1 included the elements of claims 5 and 9 ciaim must be found united in the same way to perform the identical function for a the '354 Patent"). The rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as and 0.1 mol% of the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1), and thus can 74 and the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1) and wherein the mixed overall weight of the pigment composition, of mixed crystals of C.I. Pigment Yellow systals contain between 70 and 99.9 mol% of Pigment Yellow 74 and between 30 nvention can be found in a single reference, it cannot be said that such a claim is reference to establish anticipation. Anticipation is a technical defense which must neet standards: Unless all of the same elements are found in exactly the same art reference, there is no anticipation. Unless all of the elements of a dalmed Claims 1 - 8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being for the reason that the "354 Patent does not disclose all of the elements of

PAGE 9/13 * RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-36

Attorney's Docket 2004DE105
Serial No.: 10/590ABA
Art Unit 1726
Responsa to Office Action Mailed 09/18/2007

anticipated by that reference. Therefore, the rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the '354 Patent should be withdrawn for the reason that the '354 Patent does not disclose all of the elements of Applicant's invention. The rejection of claims 2-8, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vermoortele et al. (US Patent No. 6,261,354) should be withdrawn for the reasons given in support of claim 1 from which they depend.

Dongzhi publication does not disclose all of the elements of Applicant's invention. As composition comprises more than 15% by weight, based on the overall weight of the the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1), and thus can not be said to be between 70 and 89.9 mol% of Pigment Yellow 74 and between 30 and 0.1 mol% of anticipated by the Dongzhi publication. Applicant notes that the Dongzhi publication discloses that a mixture of PY 74 and PY 65 is a physical mixture where there is no system G + F (equivalent to PY 74 + PY 65) only exits as a eutectic mixture of two components (i.e. a physical mixture). In Applicant's Specification, Applicant defined synergistic effect between the components (See page 72, first section, last 3 lines) Pigments). The rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being and further discloses that the mixed synthetic pigment system of A + D (monoazo Claims 1 -10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by pigment composition, of mixed crystals of C.I. Pigment Yellow 74 and the at least pigments not encompassed by the instant invention) forms a solid solution(i.e., a Monoazoacetoacetanilide Pigments) should be withdrawn for the reason that the one monoazo pigment of the formula (1) and wherein the mixed crystals contain Dongzhi et al. (An Investigation Into the synergism of Monoazoacetoacetanliide amended, claim 1 included the elements of claims 5 and 9 wherein the pigment mixed crystal) over a large range of compositions, while the synthetic pigment anticipated by Dongzhi et al. (An investigation Into the synergism of the term mixed crystal at page 4 as follows:

"By mixed crystals for the purpose of the present invention are meant also solid solutions. The properties of mixed crystals differ both from the properties

PAGE 10/13 * RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-36

Attorney's Docket 2004DE105 Sefal No: 10/390,828 Art Unit 1755 anse to Office Action Marked 08/18/2007

of the individual components and from the properties of the physical mixtures of the individual components."

It is fundamental that all elements of a claim must be found united in the same way to perform the identical function for a reference to establish anticipation. Anticipation is a technical defense which must meet standards: Unless all of the same elements are found in exactly the same situation and united in the same way to perform the identical function in a single prior art reference, there is no anticipation. Unless all of the elements of a claimed invention can be found in a single reference, it cannot be said that such a claim is anticipated by that reference. Therefore, the rejection of claim 1, as amended under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Dongzhi publication should be withdrawn for the reason that the Dongzhi publication does not disclose all of the elements of Applicant's invention. The rejection of claims 2-8, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dongzhi et al. (An Investigation into the synergism of Monoazoacetoacetanitide Pigments) should be withdrawn for the reasons given in support of claim 1 from which they depend.

Claims 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Vermoortele et al. (US Pat. No. 6,261,354) (herein after referred to as 'the '354 Patent'). The rejection of claims 11 and 12, which depend from amended claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Vermoortele et al. (US Pat. No. 8,261,354) (herein after referred to as "the '354 Patent") should be withdrawn for the reason that no one skilled in the art would be able to arrive at Applicant's invention based solely on the disclosure of Vermoortele. The '354 Patent is limited to a transparent pigmentary composition for use as a colorant in general purpose printing links. The '354 Patent Is silent on any special additional properties and/or physical characteristics required in a pigment composition which is suitable or could be successfully employed in an inkjet ink system. Applicant discloses specific requirements for such an inkjet ink in a pigment composition in Applicant's Specification at page 1, lines 10-24, wherein it is stated that fine division of the pigment is required to prevent clogging of the nozzlas to achieve high transparency and to achieve the desired hue. There is no mention in the '354 Patent of a

PAGE 11/13 * RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-36

PAGE 12/13 ' RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] " SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 " DNIS:2738300 " CSID:700 1301 ' DURATION (mm-35)-03-36

and exhibit the desired hue which is a greenish yellow rather than a reddish yellow problem of the Applicant to provide a pigment composition for inkjet ink systems and pigment as daimed in amended claim 1. Thus, anyone skilled in the art having the of synergistic behavior between the PY 74 and the at least one azo pigment crystal pigment composition exhibit an unexpected "greenish hue" which is evidence being "much redder" than individual PY 74 (See Col 4, last line). Applicant's mixed use of any mixed crystals and the '354 Patent describes its pigment composition as clogging problems in the inkjet nozzles. Still further, the '354 patent is silent on the discouraged from using such a high viscosity composition because of potential customary printing processes, one skilled in the art of inkjet printing would be implies a high viscosity. Applicant notes that if a viscosity is too high for normal or 们heological properties which are inferior to those of Example 1 (Table 1) which 74 and PY 65, but, the reference discloses in col 4, last 3 lines that "the product has 22 In Table 1 showing data for dH (hue)). The '354 Patent discloses a mixture of PY provide such a composition. Furthermore, Applicant's crystals are highly transparent armed only with the '354 patent would find no teaching or direction to successfully having a specific range of composition of the PY 74 and the at least one monoazo requirement for a fine division of the pigments in specific proportion of mixed crysta (See Applicant's Specification at page 2, lines 16-19, at page 5, line 16, and at page no one skilled in the art would arrive at Applicant's invention based solely on the Vermoortele et al. (US Pat. No. 6,261,354) should be withdrawn for the reason that according to formula (1). Therefore, the rejection of claims 11 and 12, which depend which is required to overcome Applicant's problem of providing an inkjet ink. mixed crystals contain between 70 and 99.9 mol% of Pigment Yellow 74 and the overall weight of the pigment composition, of mixed crystals of C.I. Pigment Patent to provide a pigment composition having more than 15% by weight, based on disclosure of the '354 Patent, because there is no teaching or suggestion in the '354 from amended claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over between 30 and 0.1 mol% of the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1), Yellow 74 and the at least one monoazo pigment of the formula (1) and wherein the

Attomey's Docker: 2019-01-103
Serial No.: 10/590.828
Art Unit 1766
2019-8 to Office Action Mailed 08/16/2007

Attemey's Docket:

the mixed synthetic pigment system of A + D (monoazo pigments not encompassed U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dongzhi et al. (An Investigation Into the PY 74 and PY 65 is a physical mixture where there is no synergistic effect between investigation Into the Synergism of Monoazoacetoacetanilide Pigments) should be The rejection of claims 11 and 12, which depend from amended claim 1, under 35 range of compositions, while the synthetic pigment system G + F (equivalent to PY the components (See page 72, first section, last 3 lines) and further discloses that reason that as discussed hereinabove, the compositions disclosed in Dongzhi are inyention. Applicant notes that the Dongzhi publication discloses that a mbxture of Monoazoacetoacetanllide Pigments)(herein after referred to as "Dongzhi et al."). mixture). Therefore, rejection of claims 11 and 12, which depend from amended claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dongzhi et al. (An by the instant invention) forms a solid solution (i.e., a mixed crystal) over a large Synergism of Monoazoacetoacetanilide Pigments) should be withdrawn for the 74 + PY 65) only exits as a eutectic mbture of two components (i.e. a physical not synergistic mixtures and therefore specifically teach away from Applicant's withdrawn for the reason that Dongzhl teach away from Applicant's invention. Claims 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dongzhi et al. (An Investigation Into the Synergism of

Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and an allowance of all pending claims are courteously solicited.

An early and favorable action is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted

INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 4000 Monroe Road, Charlotte, NC 28205 CUSTOMER NUMBER 25,255)

Agent for Applicant

Facsimile: (704) 331-7707

PAGE 13/13 * RCVD AT 12/12/2007 10:42:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/46 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-36